School of **Information Systems** 





### **Strategic Planning for Setting up Base Stations in Emergency Medical Systems**

### **Supriyo Ghosh** and Pradeep Varakantham

### **School of Information Systems Singapore Management University**

26<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS-16)

### Motivation: Emergency Medical Systems

+ Emergency Medical Systems:

- + Integral part of public health-care
- + Response time is the key factor
- + Placement of resources have major impact





# Motivating Example

- + Response times with base 1 & 2 are 10 and 30 minutes.
- + Response times with base 1 & 3 are 5 and 5 minutes
	- + Total response time reduces by 30 minutes
	- + Both requests are served within 5 minutes









**School of Information Systems** 

**26th Supriyo Ghosh Singapore Management University ICAPS, 06/2016** International Conference on Automated Planning and TCAPS, 06/2016

### Challenges & Objectives

### +Strategic planning in EMS is computationally challenging

- +Demand is dynamic & stochastic
- +Exponentially large action space
- +Direct impact on ambulance allocation problem
- +Budget for resources (#bases & #ambulances) is dynamic
- +Extension of k-center facility location problem (NP-Hard Problem)
- +Goal: Strategic planning to optimize EMS performance metrics.
	- + **Bounded time response:** Maximise the number of requests that are served within a given threshold time (e.g., 15 minutes)
	- + **Bounded risk response:** Minimise the response time for a fixed percentage (e.g., 80%) of requests

School of **Information Systems** 



## Background & Contribution

- +Operational Planning:
	- + Ambulance allocation and dynamic redeployment
		- + [Yue *et. al.,* 2012; Siasubramanian *et. al.,* 2015; Maxwell *et. al.,* 2010]
		- + Presume a fixed set of bases are given
- +Strategic planning for rare large-scale disaster response
	- *Barry O'Sullivan* + [Sylvester *et. al.*, 1857; Huang *et. al.*, 2010]
	- + Not efficient for day-to-day decision making in EMS
- + Our contributions:
	- + A data-driven greedy algorithm add bases incrementally
	- Use faster lazy greedy to optimize widely used metrics in EMS
	- + Evaluate our approach on a simulation build on real-world data sets



School of **Information Systems** 

### **Solution Overview**





**School of Information Systems** 

#### Ambulance Allocation Problem **be seen in family a feature set of a factor of Ambulance Allocation Problem** where  $\alpha$  as a denotes the null assignment or lost request.  $\alpha$ straints (7) ensure that binary variable *z<sup>r</sup>* is set to 1 if

+ Input: Ambulance allocation problem are defined using tuple: is a binary decision variable and is set to 1 if request *r* is served from base *l* 2 *{B<sup>r</sup>* [ ?*}*. *a<sup>l</sup>* denotes the number  $\langle R \rangle$  $\sim \nu, \omega, \pi, \pm$ response time for request *r* exceeds . Constraints (8) defined using tuple. time exceeding  $\sim$ other key differentiating constraints that has not been differentiating constraints that has not been differentiation of  $\mathcal{L}_\text{c}$ 

Each request  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  is tagged with tuple  $\Box$ Facility and is the reward and in the second as follows.  $\ln \angle t$  each  $\angle$  $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$  that the response time for  $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$  is equal to  $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$  is



 $+$   $\circ$ itput: + Output: Number of ambulances,  $a_l$  allocated to each bases

 $\frac{1}{2}$ **Sect** *xrl* = 1*,* 8*r* 2 *R* (2) *a,x M* (6) *<sup>r</sup>* + Objective: Maximize number of requests served within 15 minutes.

*l*2*{Br*[?*} x* + Decision variables:

SIOI Variables.  
\n
$$
x_{rl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if request } r \text{ is served from base } l \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}
$$



*l* CAPS, 06/2016

x<br>X

School of **Information Systems** 

### MILP for Optimizing Bounded Response Time



+ Similarly an MILP is used to optimize bounded risk response objective

**School of Information Systems** 

## **Submodularity**

Objective function  $F: 2^{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is submodular if

 $\Delta(A|b) - \Delta(B|b) \geq 0 \quad \forall b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus B$ 

where,  $A \subset B \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  and  $\Delta(A|b) = F(A \cup \{b\}) - F(A)$ 

**Proposition 1: F function is monotone submodular for bounded** time response objective. Therefore, greedy approach provides  $(1-\frac{1}{2})$  approximation guarantee



### Lazy Greedy Algorithm

**Proposition 2: For a placement of bases**  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  and for each  $\textbf{available base}\, s\in \mathcal{B}\setminus E$  , let  $\Delta_s=F(E\cup s)-F(E)$  then:

$$
\max_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{A},\mathcal{R}} F(\mathcal{B}) \leq F(E) + \sum_{s \in \{\mathcal{B} \setminus E\}} \Delta_s
$$

+ Lazy Greedy Approach



# Experimental Setup

#### +Data set: Real EMS data set from a large Asian city

- + 58 bases, 58 ambulances
- + 1500 weeks of request samples divided into training, validation and test set

#### +Benchmark Approaches

- + Baseline one ambulance in each base
- + Bounded Time Response Optimization [BTRO] (Yue *el. al.,* 2012)
- + Risk Based Optimization [RBO] (Saisubramanian *et. al.,* 2015*)*

### + Event-driven Simulation (Yue *et. al.*, 2012):



# Runtime Gain for Lazy-Greedy

- - +Scales gracefully with #requests for bounded time response.
	- +Solves real problems within 10 minutes.
	- +Efficient for bounded risk response also.



NGAPORE MANAGEMEN



#### **School of Information Systems**

### Effect of Ambulance Fleet Size

#### +Increasing ambulance fleet size:

- Bounded time response increases monotonically
- + Bounded risk response decreases monotonically
- Number of required bases increases to accommodate extra ambulances



### Experimental Validation on Test Data Sets

+Our approach serves at least 3% extra requests within 15 minutes. + Highly competitive with other approaches for bounded risk response by utilising less than 70% of the bases.



## Conclusion

+Strategic planning for EMS

- + Important large-scale problem for public health-care
- + Computationally challenging
- + We employ lazy greedy approach to add bases incrementally until marginal gain is significant
- + Our approach significantly improves the service level of EMS over existing benchmarks, on real-world data sets



School of **Information Systems** 

# **Q & A**







**School of Information Systems** 

#### **MILP for Optimizing Bounded Risk Response** used earlier is constrains (12). These constraints en-**Sure that is sure that the response time for Cylimizing Bounde** the travel time from base (dispatched ambulance loca-

 $\delta^r$ : Response time for request  $r$ 

 $z^r$  : Set to 1 if request  $r$  is served within  $\delta$ 



**School of** 

**Variables:** 

**26th Supriyo Ghosh Singapore Management University ICAPS, 06/2016** ICAPS, 06/2016 Table 2: RISKALLOCATION(*R, B, A,* ↵)

#### no available bases whose marginal gain is higher than a  $\blacksquare$  azy Greedy Algorithm (c) A set of feasible nearby bases from where the re-Lazy Greedy Algorithm



**26th Supriyo Ghosh Singapore Management University ICAPS, 06/2016** ICAPS, 06/2016 *f fleet A fleet A fleet a fleet a fleet s* 2 *a fleet s* 2 *a fleet s* 2 *a fleet fle* list is sorted based on arrival order of requests. *I* de-

ICAPS, 06/2016