

Autograder AG

RISHABH SINGH, SUMIT GULWANI, ARMANDO SOLAR-LEZAMA

MIT COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE LABORATORY

Microsoft®
Research



- Test-cases based feedback
 - Hard to relate failing inputs to errors
- Manual feedback by TAs
 - Time consuming and error prone

Feedback on Programming Assignments

*"Not only did it take **1-2 weeks** to grade problem, but the comments were **entirely unhelpful** in actually helping us fix our errors.Apparently they don't read the code – they just ran their tests and docked points mercilessly. What if I just had **a simple typo**, but my **algorithm was fine**?"*

Student Feedback




Scalability Challenges (>100k students)

Bigger Challenge in MOOCs

Today's Grading Workflow

```
def computeDeriv(poly):  
    deriv = []  
    zero = 0  
    if (len(poly) == 1):  
        return deriv  
    for e in range(0, len(poly)):  
        if (poly[e] == 0):  
            zero += 1  
        else:  
            deriv.append(poly[e]*e)  
    return deriv
```



```
def computeDeriv(poly):  
    deriv = []  
    zero = 0  
    if (len(poly) == 1):  
        return deriv  
    for e in range(0, len(poly)):  
        if (poly[e] == 0):  
            zero += 1  
        else:  
            deriv.append(poly[e]*e)  
    return deriv
```



replace deriv by [0]

Teacher's Solution

Grading Rubric

Autograder Workflow

```
def computeDeriv(poly):  
    deriv = []  
    zero = 0  
    if (len(poly) == 1):  
        return deriv  
    for e in range(0, len(poly)):  
        if (poly[e] == 0):  
            zero += 1  
        else:  
            deriv.append(poly[e]*e)  
    return deriv
```



```
def computeDeriv(poly):  
    deriv = []  
    zero = 0  
    if (len(poly) == 1):  
        return deriv  
    for e in range(0, len(poly)):  
        if (poly[e] == 0):  
            zero += 1  
        else:  
            deriv.append(poly[e]*e)  
    return deriv
```

replace deriv by [0]

Teacher's Solution

Error Model

Technical Challenges

Large space of possible corrections

Minimal corrections

Dynamically-typed language

Constraint-based Synthesis to the rescue

Running Example

computeDeriv

Compute the derivative of a polynomial

`poly = [10, 8, 2]` `# f(x) = 10 + 8x + 2x2`
`⇒ [8, 4]` `# f'(x) = 8 + 4x`

Teacher's solution

```
def computeDeriv(poly):  
    result = []  
    if len(poly) == 1:  
        return [0]  
    for i in range(1, len(poly)):  
        result += [i * poly[i]]  
    return result
```

Demo:


<http://bit.ly/179jFjo>

Simplified Error Model


- `return a` \rightarrow `return {[0], ?a}`
- `range(a1, a2)` \rightarrow `range(a1+1, a2)`
- `a0 == a1` \rightarrow `False`

Autograder Algorithm

Algorithm



Algorithm: Rewriter



Rewriting using Error Model

```
range(0, len(poly))
```



```
range({0}, 1, len(poly))
```

default choice

$a \rightarrow a+1$

Rewriting using Error Model

```
range(0, len(poly))
```



```
range({0}, 1, len(poly))
```

$a \rightarrow a+1$

Rewriting using Error Model

```
range(0, len(poly))
```



```
range({0}, 1, len({poly}, poly+1))
```

$a \rightarrow a+1$

Rewriting using Error Model

```
range(0, len(poly))
```



```
range({0}, 1, {len({poly}, poly+1)},  
len({poly}, poly+1)+1})
```

$a \rightarrow a+1$

Rewriting using Error Model ($\widetilde{P}y$)


```
def computeDeriv(poly):
    deriv = []
    zero = 0
    if (len(poly) == 1, False):
        return {deriv, [0]}

    for e in range(0, len(poly)):
        if (poly[e] == 0):
            zero += 1
        else:
            deriv.append(poly[e]*e)

    return {deriv, [0]}
```

Problem: Find a program that **minimizes cost metric** and **is functionally equivalent** with teacher's solution

Algorithm: Translator



A Synthesis Primer

The Synthesis problem as a doubly quantified constraint

$$\exists P \forall in \ (in, P \models Spec)$$

- What does it mean to quantify over programs?

Quantifying over programs

Synthesis as curve fitting

It's hard to do curve fitting with arbitrary curves

- Instead, people use *parameterized* families of curves
- Quantify over parameters instead of over functions

$$\exists c \forall in \ (in, P[c] \models Spec)$$

Key idea:

Let user define parameterized functions with partial programs

Sketch [Solar-Lezama et al. ASPLOS06]

```
void main(int x) {  
    int k = ??;  
    assert x + x == k * x;  
}
```


```
void main(int x) {  
    int k = 2;  
    assert x + x == k * x;  
}
```

Statically typed C-like language with holes


\widetilde{Py} Translation to Sketch

- (1) Handling python's dynamic types
- (2) Translation of expression choices


Algorithm: Solver




CEGIS Synthesis algorithm



CEGIS



Algorithm: Feedback



Feedback Generation

Correction rules associated with **Feedback Template**

Extract synthesizer choices to fill templates

Evaluation

Autograder Tool for Python

Currently supports:

- Integers, Bool, Strings, Lists, Dictionary, Tuples
- Closures, limited higher-order fn, list comprehensions

Benchmarks

Exercises from first five weeks of 6.00x and 6.00

int: prodBySum, compBal, iterPower, recurPower, iterGCD


tuple: oddTuple

list: compDeriv, evalPoly


string: hangman1, hangman2

arrays(C#): APCS dynamic programming (**Pex4Fun**)


| Benchmark | Test Set |
|--------------------|----------|
| evalPoly-6.00 | 13 |
| compBal-stdin-6.00 | 52 |
| compDeriv-6.00 | 103 |
| hangman2-6.00x | 218 |
| prodBySum-6.00 | 268 |
| oddTuples-6.00 | 344 |
| hangman1-6.00x | 351 |
| evalPoly-6.00x | 541 |
| compDeriv-6.00x | 918 |
| oddTuples-6.00x | 1756 |
| iterPower-6.00x | 2875 |
| recurPower-6.00x | 2938 |
| iterGCD-6.00x | 2988 |




Average Running Time (in s)




Feedback Generated (Percentage)



Feedback Generated (Percentage)



Feedback Generated (Percentage)



Feedback Generated (Percentage)

Why low % in some cases?

- Completely Incorrect Solutions
- Unimplemented Python Features
- Timeout
 - comp-bal-6.00
- Big Conceptual errors

Big Error: Misunderstanding APIs

- eval-poly-6.00x

```
def evaluatePoly(poly, x):  
    result = 0  
    for i in list(poly):  
        result += i * x ** poly.index(i)  
    return result
```

Big Error: Misunderstanding Spec

- hangman2-6.00x

```
def getGuessedWord(secretWord, lettersGuessed):  
    for letter in lettersGuessed:  
        secretWord = secretWord.replace(letter, '_')  
    return secretWord
```

- A technique for automated feedback generation
Error Models, Constraint-based synthesis
- Provide a basis for automated feedback for MOOCs
- Towards building a Python Tutoring System

Thanks! rishabh@csail.mit.edu

Conclusion