Towards Reconfigurable Rack-Scale Networking

Tyler Szepesi, Bernard Wong, Tim Brecht, Sajjad Rizvi

Cheriton School of Computer Science University of Waterloo

April 21, 2015

Rack-Scale Computing



Traditional Rack:

- 10s of servers
- 10s of Gbps per server

Rack-Scale Computing



Traditional Rack:

- 10s of servers
- 10s of Gbps per server

Rack-Scale Computing:

- 100s of micro-servers
- 100s of Gbps per micro-server

Rack-Scale Networking



A key enabler of rack-scale computing is a network fabric that provides high-bandwidth in a cost effective way.

What is the right network fabric?

Single Switch

Electrical Switch Network



Requires hundreds of ports at hundreds of Gbps per port

Oversubscribed Tree



Limited bandwidth for many communication patterns

Fat-tree (Folded Clos)



Costs almost as much for the switching hardware as the micro-servers being networked together

Distributed Switching (Torus Networks)



A tradeoff between long path lengths and high port counts per micro-server

Reconfigurable Networks

Provide bandwidth where it is needed, when it is needed, and minimize over-provisioning

Optical Circuit Switching

- High bandwidth
- Low cost
- Low power consumption





Most effective when the communication pattern between switch changes slowly

Rack-Scale Communication

- The expected pattern of communication:
 - Groups of micro-servers are used for a task
 - New groups are formed for new tasks

High bandwidth is needed between members of the group

Minimal bandwidth is needed for inter-group communication







Groups stay consistent, but the communication pattern among members of the group can change rapidly

Group Membership



Use optical circuit switch to connect micro-servers to electrical switches

Group Membership - Example



Allows the formation of arbitrary groups of micro-servers, when connectivity is required

Single Optical Circuit Switch



Optical circuit switches are not yet available beyond a few hundred ports

3 Stage Clos



3 stage Clos provides the same functionality as a single switch

3 Stage Clos - Example



19

3 Stage Clos - Example



The exact port on the switch is not important

2 Stage Clos



2 stage Clos provides sufficient flexibility to create any group

2 Stage Clos - Example



Any micro-server can reach any port on any switch, using 33% fewer optical ports than a 3 stage Clos Cost Comparison



OSA requires less ports overall, and is the most cost effective for lower bandwidths

As the bandwidth moves into the 100s of Gbps, the cost of electrical switching dominates Power Comparison



Operating optical switches is substantially less power intensive than electrical switches

Green rack-scale computing must consider the impact of networking



Perform circuit switching using a distributed set of circuit switches

Each micro-server is connected to a switch

Each optical switch is connect to a port on an electrical switch

Only deploy the components that are needed

Supports various electrical switch sizes

Direct Connectivity

Can extend the concept to direct server to server connections

Direct Connectivity

Can eliminate some of the electrical switches

Direct Connectivity

Adding additional ports to micro-servers would allow dynamic construction of server centric networks

What is the right network fabric for rack-scale computing?

- Data center networking solutions are not ideal at rack-scale
- We propose the use of reconfigurable optics to form groups

The idea extends to dynamically constructing other topologies