



## Spatial Audio research at Microsoft

Hannes Gamper MSR Labs



## Collaborators and contributors

### Audio and Acoustics Research Group in MSR Labs











Sweden

Hannes GamperDavid JohnstonIvan TashevMark R. P. ThomasJens AhrensMicrosoft ResearchMicrosoft ResearchMicrosoft ResearchDolby LaboratoriesChalmers University,

Interns: Piotr Bilinski, Archontis Politis, Keith Godin

The exceptional engineering teams in HoloLens, Kinect, and Windows we had the honour to work with





## Introduction



### VR & AR devices



Oculus Rift





Samsung Gear VR

Microsoft Hololens





## Definition: Spatial audio

- Within audible frequency and dynamic range
- Delivered to one or both ears
- Contains auditory localisation cues:
  - Interaural time and level differences ]
  - Spectral cues

Head-related transfer function (HRTF)

- Reverberation
- Dynamic and multimodal cues
- (expectation and experience)







Oj





Oj

Real sound source

Virtual sound source







Oj





Real sound source

Spatial audio rendering via headrelated transfer functions (HRTFs)





















# HRTF measurement and personalisation



## Rendering framework



#### Anthropometry

3-D scan, photo, questionnaire, measurements

#### HRTFs Measured, modelled

**Spatial sound** Hololens, Windows 10, Cities Unlocked





## HRTF measurement





Measurement locations

HRTF measurement rig





## MSR HRTF database

- ~200 subjects
- HRTFs measured at 400 locations
- High resolution 3D head scans
- Direct anthropometrics measurements
  - Head width, depth, height, etc.
- Questionnaire
  - Hat size, shirt size, jeans size, etc.



3-D head scan



### Measurement tools





## Direct estimation

Trace acoustic propagation from source positions to ear entrances.

Good results with highresolution scan



Gamper, H.; Thomas, M. & Tashev, I. (2015). "Estimation of multipath propagation delays and interaural time differences from 3-D head scans." *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*.

Microsoft Research Faculty Summit 2016



## Anthropometry-based personalisation

Given database of anthropometric features, represent a new candidate's features as a sparse combination  $\alpha$  of people in the database.

Combine HRTF magnitude spectra with same weights  $\alpha$  to synthesize personalized HRTF.



P. Bilinski, J. Ahrens, M. R. P. Thomas, I. J. Tashev, J. C. Platt, "HRTF magnitude synthesis via sparse representation of anthropometric features," *ICASSP*, 2014.







## Model-based personalisation

Given single (Kinect) depth image, fit average face to scan.

Map geometric deformation to acoustic features.



Average face fitted to depth image.



## HRTF personalisation demonstration





## Rendering approaches

## Object-based rendering

Render each source individually

Provides full 3-D control

Complexity increases ~linearly with #sources

Suitable for synthetic (AR/VR) scenes  $\rightarrow$  e.g., Hololens











## Object-based rendering

### Render each source individually







## Channel-based rendering





())





## Parametric approaches

Render (fixed) number of virtual speakers

Based on psychoacoustics

Constant complexity

→ Directional Audio Coding (DirAC)\*



\*V. Pulkki, "Spatial sound reproduction with directional audio coding," J. Audio Eng. Soc., vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 503-516, June 2007.





## Modal rendering

Render fixed spherical order

De facto media standard

Variable complexity

Suitable for spatial recordings (e.g., Ambisonics) → e.g., Ambisonics







## Modal rendering







## Sound field capture



16-ch. 4.5" spherical mic. array



64-ch. 200mm spherical mic. array



16-ch. 4.5" cylindrical mic. array



## Future outlook



## Improve rendering engine

Continue HRTF personalization efforts

Collect user feedback

Study elevation perception (intern: Vani Rajendran)



Pinna scans.





## HRTFs: Application-specific tuning AR vs. VR

Object-based rendering vs. modal rendering

Dealing with constraints, expectation





## Reverb and room modelling

AR vs. VR

Object-based rendering vs. modal rendering

Dealing with constraints, expectation







## Conclusion

Spatial audio is key component of AR/VR experience

Growing number of devices/applications/users

Many open research questions – we have only scratched the surface!





## Thank you!







 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$  Copyright Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.

## Backup slides





### AR & VR scenes







## Comparison: vision vs. hearing

	Vision*	Hearing
Frequency range	430 – 770 THz (1 octave)	20 – 20000 Hz (10 octaves)
Wavelength	700-390 m <sup>-9</sup>	17 - 0.017 m
Dynamic range	~140 dB	~140 dB
Spatial resolution	~1 arc minute	~5-20 degrees
Temporal resolution	~1/25 s	~10-20 µs
Field of view	130° vertical, 200° horizontal	4π steradians
Energy	Up to 1000 W/m <sup>2</sup> in a daylight	Pain threshold 10 <sup>-5</sup> W/m <sup>2</sup>

\*Source: Wikipedia

- $\rightarrow$  Sound is a low energy phenomenon with wavelengths comparable to objects surrounding us.
- $\rightarrow$  Human hearing has high temporal/low spatial resolution, unlimited field of view.
- $\rightarrow$  Both senses are head-locked!





## Interaural time delay modelling

Fit sphere to scan to parameterise ITD models.

Should work with noisier scans (e.g., Kinect)



Sphere fitted to 3-D head scan.

Gamper, H.; Thomas, M. & Tashev, I. (2015). "Anthropometric parameterisation of a spherical scatterer ITD model with arbitrary ear angles." *Proc. IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics (WASPAA)*.



