

Powergrading: Helping Teachers Grade Short Answers at Scale

Sumit Basu, Chuck Jacobs, Michael Brooks, Lucy Vanderwende

Microsoft Research Faculty Summit 2014

Challenges of Testing & Grading at Scale

 Modern web technologies are solving the one:many problem, but there are many challenges to the many:one direction...



Not all Tests are Created Equal



- Laufer and Goldstein, 2004, on the difficulty of Recall tasks vs. Recognition
- Anderson and Biddle, 1975, "On Asking People Questions About What They are Reading."

Grading is More than Assigning Scores

- Grading Practices
 - Grading is complex and individualized
 - Maintaining consistency between students is a challenge
- Instructor grading has important benefits
 - Opportunity for rich feedback
 - Getting a snapshot of student understanding
 - Adapting teaching to student needs
- Issues with Auto-Only Grading
 - some answers are always left on the table
 - no feedback for teachers
- Assisted Grading: can we leverage the best of human and machine?

Core Idea: Perhaps clustering could help deal with a large number of answers, while still allowing for completeness, feedback, and reflection

McMillan, 2001. Secondary Teachers' Classroom Assessment and Grading Practices.

Powergrading Overview

Hypothesis and Metric: using this procedure, the number of "grading actions" required to complete the task could drop dramatically

Sumit Basu, Chuck Jacobs, and Lucy Vanderwende. "Powergrading: a Clustering Approach to Amplify Human Effort for Short Answer Grading." Transactions of the ACL, 1 (October) 2013

Actions Remaining after Auto + 3 User Actions

	l Clu			
Q #	G1	G2	G3	Num Unique
1	2	1	2	57
2	14	12	13	132
3	80	87	145	586
4	32	26	43	205
5	20	18	26	138
6	24	30	50	219
7	16	12	11	395
8	9	8	8	157
13	75	54	50	367
20	11	14	10	276

Designing and Evaluating a User Interface for Powergrading

Michael Brooks, Sumit Basu, Chuck Jacobs, and Lucy Vanderwende: "Divide and Correct: Using Clusters to Grade Short Answers at Scale." In ACM Learning at Scale 2014.

Clustered Grading Interface





Powergrading Demo

Flat Grading Interface (Baseline)

				g	
15	55 Grading Feedback				
Answers					
x1	' 'mixed' economy need ca	pitalist or market	▲ 1 answer selected		
x1	a bad one ca	pitalist or market	Mark Unread	Flag	
x1	a capitalist economy		Grading		
x1	a capitalist economy with some socialist aspects like medicare, social security, and government oversight organizations like the fda and the department	he health	Incorrect	Partial Correct	
x1	a combination of capitalism + command				
x1	a corporate welfare guised as a capitalist system.		Feedback	Clear Save	
x1	a free enterprise and capitalism.				
x2	a free market system				
			Copy previous feedba	ck:	
XI	a market economy		be more specifi	C	
x5	a market system		capitalist or ma	rket	
			need capitalist (or market	
			Answer Key		
x1	a market system of stocks and bonds		Q: What is the	e economic	
x1	x1 a market system that runs the economy.			system in the United States?	
x1	a regulated free-market capitalist system		capitalist econor	ny	
x1	a set of laws we have to follow		market economy	1	
x1	a system of economics	be more specific			
x1	a total failure.		~		

Questions

Preferences: Did teachers like it?
Efficiency: Was grading speed improved?
Quality: Was accuracy affected?
Feedback: Was giving feedback supported?
Reflection: Were teachers able to reflect on student answers?

Study: 25 MS/HS/College teachers, within-subjects (2 interfaces, 2 questions)

Preferences

"When initially viewing the video on this interface, I was a little worried that it might be somewhat complicated and time consuming due to the subcategories. However, I was incorrect. This interface was **quite efficient and easy to use**." (P15) "[The clustered interface] **worked very well** for me, especially given the large number of total responses. I found [the flat interface] quite tedious ... The clustered interface] **helped me to identify student patterns** in thinking quite well." (P12)

	Clustered	Flat (Baseline)
Faster	21	4
More Enjoyable	20	5
Easier to Use	20	5
More Effective	19	6
Better Overall	/21	4

21 of 25 participants / preferred the Clustered interface

Efficiency



Speed Calculation



Grading Quality

Accuracy vs. Speed for Both Questions



Amplifying Feedback

- No difference in median instances of entered feedback
- However, clustering distributed the same number of instances to many more answers: (median 75 vs. 18)
- Clustered interface rated higher for supporting feedback

"Being able to grade categorized responses makes it easier on the grader and allows them to pay closer attention to types of feedback needed." (P24)

"Because [the clustered interface] was so much faster, more time could be spent giving feedback." (P14)

Instructor Reflection

• Participants felt the clustered interface was better for this:

"This interface does make **answer trends more easily identifiable**." (P6)

"I liked this [clustered] interface better; breaking the answers down into clusters allowed me to **spot patterns**, to **be more consistent** in grading, and to **devote more time to individual answers** where it wasn't clear whether they were right or wrong. The information seemed less overwhelming when presented this way, so I felt like I was less apt to mis-read or mis-grade any one answer." (P8)

Powergrading: a "Power Tool" for Grading

- Keep teachers in the loop, but amplify their capabilities so they can handle larger classes
- Current work: making Powergrading broadly available; let us know if you want to try it out with your data

http://bit.ly/powergrading

sumitb@microsoft.com